

Kapila Project (Inclusion in Second Five-Year Plan).

Q.—261. Sri M. LINGANNA (Nanjangud).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(a) whether the Government have included the Kapila Project (Bidahalli Project) in the Second Five-Year Plan ;

(b) if so, what the estimate is and the amount shared by the Mysore Government and the Government of India ?

A.—Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA (Minister for Revenue and Public Works).—

(a) There is no Project called “Kapila” Project. It is proposed to include the “Kapini Project” in the Second Five-year Plan. This Project envisages the construction of a Reservoir across Kapini River near Bidarahalli.

(b) Cost of the Project is estimated at Rs. 250 lakhs.

The question of sharing of the cost arises only after the Project is approved for inclusion in the Second Five-Year Plan.

Sri M. LINGANNA.—Is the Government aware that the Kapini river as it is officially recognised and recorded is popularly known as ‘Kapila River’ Sir ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕಪಿಧಾಳ ಮಂಜಪ್ಪ.—ನರ್ಕಾರದ ರಿಕಾರ್ಡು ಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಆ ರೀತಿ ಇದೆ.

Sri M. LINGANNA.—May I know, Sir, whether an estimate is prepared for this project ?

Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA.—I believe the project has been prepared ; not the final estimate.

Sri M. LINGANNA.—When was the project prepared, Sir ?

Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA.—I want notice please.

Sri M. LINGANNA.—Is it a fact that a Division was formed for this project, Sir ?

Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA.—Yes, it was formed. Subsequently the Government of India were not agreeable to include this in the First Five-Year Plan. Therefore the sub-division had to be wound up.

Sri M. LINGANNA.—What was the expenditure incurred for the opening of the Division and execution of the work till it was wound up.

Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA.—The survey work was completed. I think Rs. 25,000 to 30,000 have been spent.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The question that is more important is, whether this project is going to be included in the Second Five-Year Plan.

S. Nos. 53 and 54 of Kattigenahalli, Gubbi Taluk.

Q.—295. Sri R. ANANTARAMAN (Chamarajapet).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(a) the number and names of Harijans of Thorehally who were granted lands in S. Nos. 53 and 58 of Kattigenahalli, Gubbi Taluk, vide G. O. No. 11079-80—L. R. 79-49-7, dated 11th January 1952 ;

(b) the extents of land granted to them ;

(c) whether possession of the lands has been given to the grantees ;

(d) if not, what the reasons are for not handing over possession ?

A.—Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA (Minister for Revenue and Public Works).—

- (a) 1 Guddiah
- 2 Kuntrangiah
- 3 Erarangiah
- 4 Chickaiah
- 5 Bhangirangaiah
- 6 Nanjaiah
- 7 Chickahanumanthaiah
- 8 Chikkanna
- 9 Bevinaguddiah
- 10 Hanumanthaiah
- 11 Rangiah
- 12 Doddurangiah

(b) One acre each.

(c) No.

(d) In the Government Order dated 11th January 1952 referred to in question ‘A’, it is directed that the surplus gomal of 9 acres in the above two S. Nos. 53 and 58 and the assessed

waste in S. No. 65 measuring 23 acres and 1 gunta, should be disposed of to the six appellants (Yelayappa and others) at two acres each and that the remaining extent may be granted to the respondents (A. Ks.) referred to in question 'A' at one acre each. Both the appellants and the respondents (A.Ks.) in this case want land gomal S. No. 58. The excess gomal being only 9 acres, both the appellants and the respondents cannot be provided land in the gomal S. No. 58. Both of them are unwilling to take up land in the assessed waste S. No. 65 as ordered by Government. Hence the A. Ks. have not yet been put in possession of the land as per orders of Government referred to above. The Sub-Division Officer, Tumkur, after inspecting the land and making enquiries in the village has recently reported that S. No. 65 though classed as assessed waste contains two gunduthopes very near the village and is very useful to the cattle of the village. He is of opinion that this S. No. 65 serves more as gomal than as a cultivable land. He, therefore, proposes that both the A. Ks. and the gollars may be provided land in gomal S. No. 58 and that S. No. 65 may be reserved for gomal purposes. This question is being examined.

Sri R. ANANTARAMAN.—Is it not a fact that S. Nos. 53 and 58 in Kattigenahalli, Gubbi Taluk were granted to Harijans, Sir?

Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA.—I can read for the benefit of the Hon'ble Member the Government Order passed in 1951:

“The Revenue Commissioner has ordered that the excess extent of 9 acres of gomal and the assessed waste measuring 23 acres and one gunta may be disposed of in favour of the landless and needy applicants etc. Thus an extent of 32 acres and one gunta in this village is available for disposal. The cases of the appellants who were granted lands under the Grow More Food Scheme by the Deputy Commissioner in the gomal (S. Nos. 53 and 58) cannot

be over-looked. They may each be granted an extent of two acres at an upset price of Rs. 5 (five rupees) per acre out of the above (32 acres 1 gunta) land available. The remaining extent may be granted to the respondents who are also urging for grant of land at one acre if they are poor and landless. If any more extent remains after these grants, the same may be disposed of in public auction. The Deputy Commissioner may take immediate action in the matter.”

(Extract from G.O. No. 11079-80/L.A. 79-49-7 dated 11th January 1952).

Sri R. ANANTARAMAN.—Are you aware Sir, that these persons, Yellappa and others, have unauthorisedly encroached in S.Nos. 53 and 58 and they have been cultivating it?

Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA.—I am not aware.

Sri R. ANANTARAMAN.—Are you aware that the information given in (b) is not correct and will you kindly get the correct information and place it before this House?

Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA.—If that is not correct, I shall get further information.

Sri R. ANANTARAMAN.—How long will it take to give possession of the land to these Harijans, Sir?

Mr. SPEAKER.—The Hon'ble Minister has promised to furnish information.

Thimmala Devara Cheruvu Tank (Restoration)

Q.—306. Sri B. V. NARAYANA REDDY (Bagepalli-Gudibanda).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) when Thimmala Devara Cheruvu in M. Cheruvalapalli in Kolar District was breached;

(b) whether the estimate for repairing that tank has been prepared;

(c) when it was prepared;

(d) why it is not repaired so far?